LAW OFFICES OF

# STROMIRE, BISTLINE & MINICLER

1037 PATHFINDER WAY SUITE #150 ROCKLEDGE, FLORIDA 32955

2009 MAR 13 A II: 18

HAROLD T. BISTLINE, P.A. JOSEPH E. MINICLIER, P.A. LEON STROMIRE 1931-2001

DIVISION OF E-MAIL: sbmmglaw@aol.com ADMINISTRATIVEAX: (321) 636-1170 HEADING PHONE: (321) 639-0505

March 11, 2009

Division of Administrative Hearings The DeSoto Building 1230 Apalachee Parkway Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1550

Attn: Clerk

RE: Morse Communications, Inc. v. Brevard County School Board v. Brevard Business Telephone Systems, Inc. DOAH No. 08-5079 BTD

This firm represents the School Board of Brevard County, Florida.

Pursuant to Subsection 120.57(1)(m), Florida Statutes, enclosed are copies of the following:

- Α. Final Order of the School Board of Brevard County rendered on March 10, 2009.
- В. Petitioner's Written Exceptions To The Recommended Order.

Very truly yours,

Harold T. Bistline School Board Attorney

HTB/pc Enclosures

Maurice Arcadier, Esquire Douglas D. Marks, Esquire Judy Preston, Associate Superintendent Office of Financial Services

# BEFORE THE SCHOOL BOARD OF BREVARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

MORSE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,

Petitioner,

vs.

BREVARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD,

Respondent.

BREVARD BUSINESS TELEPHONE SYSTEMS, INC.

Intervenor.

BOARD AGENDA ITEM NO. MARCH 10, 2009 DOAH CASE NO. 08-5079-BID



# FINAL ORDER

THIS Bid Protest case was referred to the Division of Administrative Hearings for a formal administrative hearing. The assigned Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ)" has submitted a Recommended Order to the Agency, Brevard County School Board ("School Board") recommending that the bid protest be dismissed. The Recommended Order of February 10, 2009, entered herein is incorporated by reference. Timely exceptions were filed by the Petitioner.

#### RULING ON EXCEPTIONS

Petitioner, Morse Communications, Inc. ("Morse"), has interposed exceptions to the ALJ's conclusions of law contained in paragraphs 37 and 42 of the Recommended Order "as contrary to record testimony." However, Morse fails to include any specific citations to the record as required by Section 120.57(1)(k), Fla.

<u>Stat</u>. Nonetheless, the School Board will address the exceptions below.

# A. Conclusions of Law in Paragraph 37.

Morse contends that the ALJ's conclusion of law in paragraph 37 that the School Board did not violate Section 2.4.1 of the ITB is contrary to record testimony, without citing to the record testimony. In paragraphs 22 and 23 of the Recommended Order the ALJ found that Orlando Business Telephone Systems, Inc. and Intervenor, Brevard Business Telephone Systems, Inc. ("BBTS") are separate business entities, that Orlando Business Systems did not submit a bid in response to the ITB and that the bid submitted by BBTS was not a joint bid of BBTS and Orlando Business Systems. The ALJ further found that in her evaluation of BBTS's bid, Ms. Arvonio did not consider Orlando Business Systems as part of the bid and made her evaluation on the services which were to be provided by BBTS in case of a catastrophic failure.

The ALJ's findings of fact in paragraphs 22 and 23 are supported by competent substantial evidence in the record and the ALJ's conclusion of law that Morse failed to establish that BBTS violated Section 2.4.1 of the ITB is correct.

#### B. Conclusions of Law in Paragraph 42.

Morse contends that the ALJ's conclusions of law in paragraph 42 that the School Board waived the requirement that the contractor be certified by Molex and Siemon is contrary to record testimony, while once again failing to cite to the record testimony.

The ALJ's conclusions of law in paragraph 42 of the Recommended Order that the failure of BBTS to have a Siemon certification was a minor irregularity which could be waived is correct and supported by the findings of fact contained in paragraphs 18 through 20 which findings are supported by competent substantial evidence.

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The School Board adopts the findings of fact set forth in the Recommended Order.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The School Board adopts the conclusions of law set forth in the Recommended Order.

It is thereupon Ordered that:

- A. Petitioner's exceptions to the conclusions of law set forth in paragraphs 37 and 42 of the Recommended Order are denied.
- B. The Recommended Order is adopted as the Final Order of the School Board of Brevard County.
  - C. The bid protest filed by Petitioner is dismissed.
- D. As the prevailing party the School Board shall recover from the bond posted by Petitioner, pursuant to Section 120.57(3)(b), Fla. Stat., and School Board Policy 6320, all costs and charges incurred by the School Board in the administrative hearing process and in any subsequent appellate court proceeding, including charges made by the Division of Administrative Hearings,

but excluding attorney's fees.

DONE AND ORDERED this 10th day of March, 2009, in Viera, Brevard County, Florida.

THE SCHOOL BOARD OF BREVARD

COUNTY, FLORIDA

^

ROBERT L. JORDAN.

#### RIGHT TO APPEAL

Parties to this Final Agency Action are hereby advised of their right to seek judicial review of this Final Agency Action pursuant to Section 120.68, Florida Statutes, and Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure 9.030(b)(1)(C) and 9.110. To initiate an appeal, one copy of a Notice of Appeal must be filed, within the time period stated in the Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.110, with the Clerk of the School Board of Brevard County, 2700 Judge Fran Jamieson Way, Viera, Florida 32940. The second copy of the Notice of Appeal, together with the filing fee, must be filed with the appropriate District Court of Appeal.

Filed with the clerk in the office of the Superintendent this 10th day of March, 2009.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Final Order has been furnished by U. S. Mail to the persons named below on this \_// day of March, 2009.

Hon. Susan B. Harrell Administrative Law Judge Division of Administrative Hearings 1230 Apalachee Parkway Tallahassee, FL 32399-1550

Maurice Arcadier, Esquire Allen & Arcadier, P.A. 2815 West New Haven, No. 304 Melbourne, FL 32904 Douglas D. Marks, Esquire Boyd & Marks, L.L.C. 360 North Babcock Street, Suite 104 Melbourne, FL 32935

> HAROLD T. BISTLINE School Board Attorney